

Assessment criteria

1. *Scientific quality*

Does the application contain a current description of the background and state of knowledge?

Are hypotheses and goals well described?

Is the issue scientifically described?

2. *Proposed materials and methods*

Are the selected materials and methods validated and relevant to the research questions?

Are the proposed materials and methods available within reasonable time?

Is the material or method unique in any way?

Are there any ethical or environmental risks associated with the project?

3. *Applicant's or group's scientific expertise*

Is there an updated CV attached?

Does the project group have the required expertise?

Has the group previously been published within the field?

4. *Costs*

Is the entire cost of the project accounted for?

Are the costs clearly accounted for in the different parts of the project?

Are the costs and other efforts reasonable?

Can something be done differently in order to reduce project costs?

Has funding been granted or applied for from other financiers?

Can the project be conducted without the participation of the Foundation?

5. *Time plan*

Is there a clear time plan?

Is the time plan linked to activities and deliverables?

6. *Relevance*

Is the project's relevance, economic potential and time to market for primary production and/or industry and trade well described?

Has the applicant described the impact the project can have in the area?

Can the described deliveries be commercialized instantly or is further action required?

Can the project lead to a patent or other form of intellectual property with commercial significance?

Has the sustainability aspects been considered?

7. *Plan for the reporting of results*

Is there a plan describing how results will be spread?

Is there a plan for handling patents and other intellectual property (IPR)?

Is there a plan for reporting results linked to deliveries?

Is there a plan for scientific publishing?